

value in the $\langle 0 | 10 \rangle$: The above is an operator relation.

Generalization

We can now generalize this to any number of fields:

$$\mathcal{T}\{\phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_n\} = :\phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_n: + \text{all possible contractions:}$$

* Similar procedure for complex scalar field: $\mathcal{T}\{\phi(x)\phi^\dagger(y)\} = :\phi(x)\phi^\dagger(y): + \Delta_F(x-y)$

$$\text{with } \overline{\phi(x)\phi^\dagger(y)} \equiv \Delta_F(x-y) \text{ and } \overline{\phi(x)\phi(y)} = \overline{\phi^\dagger(x)\phi^\dagger(y)} = 0.$$

For instance, for $n=4$ we'd get:

$$\begin{aligned} T\{\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3\phi_4\} &= :\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3\phi_4: + \overbrace{\phi_1\phi_2}^{\text{contraction}} :\phi_3\phi_4: + \overbrace{\phi_1\phi_3}^{\text{contraction}} :\phi_2\phi_4: \\ &\quad + 4 \text{ similar terms} \\ &\quad + \overbrace{\phi_1\phi_2}^{\text{contraction}} \overbrace{\phi_3\phi_4}^{\text{contraction}} + \overbrace{\phi_1\phi_3}^{\text{contraction}} \overbrace{\phi_2\phi_4}^{\text{contraction}} + \overbrace{\phi_1\phi_4}^{\text{contraction}} \overbrace{\phi_2\phi_3}^{\text{contraction}} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the generalization of Wick's theorem goes by induction.

We have seen that it's true for $n=2$. Assume it's true for $n-1$ fields $\phi_2 \dots \phi_n$. If we can show that it then is true also for n fields $\phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_n$, we have proven it in general.

So we add ϕ_1 to $\phi_2 \dots \phi_n$ and take $x_1^0 > x_h^0$ for all $h=2, \dots, n$.

No loss in generality since we can move boson operators around inside $T\{\dots\}$ as much as we like and still get the same result after time-ordering. This allows us to pull ϕ_1 out to the left of the time-ordering:

$$\begin{aligned} T\{\phi_1\phi_2 \dots \phi_n\} &= (\phi_1^+ + \phi_1^-) T\{\phi_2 \dots \phi_n\} \\ &= (\phi_1^+ + \phi_1^-) [:\phi_2 \dots \phi_n: + \text{contractions}] \end{aligned} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{since the} \\ \text{theorem is} \\ \text{true for } n-1 \\ \text{fields} \end{array} \right)$$

Now, we need to get ϕ_1 inside the normal ordering and putting in the contractions somehow.

The α_i^- term is easy: we can just move it into both terms

$$\text{Since } \alpha_i^- : ABCD \dots : = : \alpha_i^- ABCD \dots : \quad (\text{recall } \alpha_i^- = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} a_k^+ e^{ikx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}})$$

For the α_i^+ term, it has to be commuted to the right past all other α 's in order to earn a place inside the normal-ordering.

Consider first the term with no contractions.

$$\alpha_i^+ : \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n : = : \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n : \alpha_i^+ + [\alpha_i^+, : \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n :]$$

$$= : \alpha_i^+ \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n : + \underbrace{[\alpha_i^+, \alpha_2] \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n + \alpha_2 [\alpha_i^+, \alpha_3] \alpha_4 \dots \alpha_n + \dots}_{} :$$

This follows by induction on this particular term
proof via

$$\text{and by using } [A, BC] = [A, B]C + B[A, C].$$

Now in each commutator $[\alpha_i^+, \alpha_j^-] = [\alpha_i^+, \alpha_j^+]$ since $[\alpha_i^+, \alpha_j^+] = 0$.

But since $x_i^0 > x_j^0$ for all $j=2, \dots, n$, we have:

$$[\alpha_i^+, \alpha_j^-] = \overline{\alpha_i^- \alpha_j^-} \quad (\text{since } \overline{\alpha_i^- \alpha_j^-} = [\alpha_i^+, \alpha_j^-] \text{ for } x_i^0 > x_j^0)$$

So we have found that

$$\alpha_i^+ : \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n : = : \alpha_i^+ \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n : + \overline{\alpha_i^- \alpha_2} \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_n + \overline{\alpha_i^- \alpha_2 \alpha_3} \alpha_4 \dots \alpha_n + \dots :$$

The first term on the rhs combines with $: \alpha_i^- \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n :$ to give

$2\alpha_i^- \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$. That's then the first term on the rhs of

Wick's theorem for $T\{\alpha_i \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\}$. The rest of the terms on the rhs above

give all possible terms involving a single contraction of \mathcal{O}_i with another field.

Next, we consider \mathcal{O}_i^+ : all contractions not involving \mathcal{O}_i , which is the remaining term in (\mathcal{K}) . Using exactly the same procedure as we just showed for the terms in \mathcal{O}_i^+ : all contr. not involving \mathcal{O}_i : that involve one contr.,

this will produce all possible terms including that contraction and that contraction + a contraction of \mathcal{O}_i with one of the other fields.

Doing this with all remaining terms eventually gives us all possible contractions, including the \mathcal{O}_i term, which concludes the proof.